



IBIS Open Forum Minutes

Meeting Date: **November 12, 2021**

Meeting Location: **Virtual Asian IBIS Summit (Japan)**

VOTING MEMBERS AND 2021 PARTICIPANTS

ANSYS	Curtis Clark, Wei-Hsing Huang, Miyo Kawata*
Applied Simulation Technology	(Fred Balistreri)
Broadcom	(Yunong Gan)
Cadence Design Systems	Zhen Mu, Ryo Sato*, Takuya Moriya*, Tadaaki Yoshimura*, Morihiko Nakazato*, Masahiro Nakahara*
Celestica	(Sophia Feng)
Cisco Systems	(Stephen Searce)
Dassault Systemes (CST)	Stefan Paret, David Duque, Longfei Bai
Ericsson	(Guohua Wang)
Google	Zhiping Yang*, Songping Wu
Huawei Technologies	(Hang (Paul) Yan)
Infineon Technologies AG	(Christian Sporrer)
Instituto de Telecomunicações	(Abdelgader Abdalla)
Intel Corporation	Hsinho Wu, Michael Mirmak
Keysight Technologies	Radek Biernacki*, Fangyi Rao*, Pegah Alavi, Todd Bermensolo, Majid Ahadi Dolatsara*, Toshinori Kageura*, Yoshio Akashi*, Satoshi Nakamizo*
Keysight Technologies Japan K.K.	David Banas
Luminous Computing	Steven Parker
Marvell	Mike LaBonte*, Walter Katz
MathWorks (SiSoft)	Tushar Pandey, Pawan Sai, Yan Liang
Maxim Integrated	Randy Wolff*, Justin Butterfield, Aniello
Micron Technology	Viscardi, Akshay Shivaji Chaudhari, Dragos Dimitriu
Micron Memory Japan, G.K.	Masayuki Honda*, Mikio Sugawara*
MST EMC Lab	Chulsoon Hwang, Anfeng Huang, Yifan Ding
NXP	Jon Burnett
SerDesDesign.com	(John Baprawski)
Siemens EDA (Mentor)	Arpad Muranyi, Weston Beal
Siemens AG	Franz Pflieger, Sebastien Kollinger
Siemens Electronic Design Automation Japan K.K.	Kunimoto Mashino*
STMicroelectronics	(Gerard Mas)
Synopsys	Ted Mido*, Andy Tai
Teraspeed Labs	Bob Ross*
Xilinx	(Romi Mayder)
ZTE Corporation	Bowen Shen, Changgang Yin, Dongdong Ye, Liqiang Meng, Xiaoxuan Liu
Zuken	Michael Schäder, Chithrupa Ramesh
Zuken USA	Lance Wang*
Zuken Japan	Kensuke Yoshijima*, Shiratori Takayuki*

OTHER PARTICIPANTS IN 2021

A&D Print Engineering Co., Ltd.
Achronix Semiconductor
AET, Inc.
AMD Japan
Analog Devices
Apollo Giken Co., Ltd.
ATE Service Corporation
Aurora System Inc.
AVL Software and Functions
Canon Components Inc.
Canon Inc.
Ciena
CMK Corporation
Continental Automotive
Cybernet Systems Co., Ltd.
D-Clue Technologies Co., Ltd.
De Montfort University (IEEE EMC)
Denso Corporation
Elite Material Co.
Extreme Networks
Faurecia Clarion Electronics Co., Ltd.
Fujifilm Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
Fujitsu Interconnect Technologies Limited
Fujitsu Limited

Fujitsu Optical Components Limited
Fujitsu Interconnect Technologies Limited,
Japan
Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.
Hamamatsu Photonics K.K.
Hamburg University of Technology
Hitachi, Ltd.
HOEI Co., Ltd.
Hoya Corporation
IB-Electronics
Innotech Corporation
Integran Co., Ltd.
Interchip Corporation
IT-Beratung-Maurer
Japan Aviation Electronics Industry
Limited
Japan Radio Co., Ltd.
JEDAT Inc.
JEITA
Jujube LLC
JVC Kenwood Corporation

Kandou Bus

Ryu Murota*, Minoru Hasegawa*
Hansel Dsilva
Keisuke Tqahara*
Tadashi Arai*
Patrick Dero
Satoshi Endo*
Yutaka Honda
Hitoshi Ishikawa*
Wolfgang Röhrner
Takeshi Nagata*
Syouji Matsumoto*, Satoru Ishikawa*
Taha Kheyar, Kaisheng Hu
Motoshi Nakamura*, Masaki Abe*
Julnar Musmar, Stefanie Schatt
Shiho Nagae*, Takayuki Tsuzura*
Kenzo Tan*
Alistair Duffy
Koji Ichikawa*
Nick Huang
Robert Haller
Yasui Takatsugu*
Rumi Maeda*
Manabu Fukuzawa*
Takashi Kobayashi*, Hideki Takauchi*,
Yoshio Nabeyama*, Makoto Yoshino*
Masaki Kunii*
Masaki Kirinaka*

Takumi Ohuchi*
Akihiro Inoguchi*
Cheng Yang
Goro Hamamoto*, Keisuke Yamamoto*
Tatsuya Chiba*
Masayuki Hagiwara*
Makoto Matsumuro*
Shinobu Seki*, Tasuku Kanomata*
Norio Miyamoto*
Satoshi Fujita*, Hiroaki Takahashi*
Manfred Maurer
Kentaro Toda*

Hiroto Katakura*, Takashi Sato*, Youichi Suzuki*
Nobuto Ono*
Akihiko Kawasaki*, Kyoji Yamazaki*, Hisashi Saito*
Taiji Hosaka*
Yasutoshi Ojima*, Hidetoshi Suzuki*,
Takuo Fujimura*
Sherman Chen

KEI Systems
Keita Smart Mirai Creations Co., Ltd.
Keyence Corporation
Kioxia Corporation

Kioxia Systems Co., Ltd.

Konica Minolta, Inc.
Kyocera Corporation
MD Systems Co., Ltd.
Megachips Corporation
Mercury Systems
Microchip Norway
Mitsubishi Electric Corporation
Mitsubishi Electric Corporation
Mitsumine-Denshi Co., Ltd.
Modech Inc.
Molex Japan LLC
Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

NTT Electronics Cross Technologies Corporation
Panasonic Corporation

Politecnico di Torino

PWB Corporation
Renesas Electronics Corporation

Ricoh Company, Ltd.

Ricoh Electronic Devices Co., Ltd.
Rohm Co., Ltd.
RTC
SAXA, Inc.
Schneider Electric Japan Holdings Ltd.
Seiko Epson Corporation
Shimadzu Corporation
Silvaco Japan Co., Ltd
Simberian
Socionext Inc.

Sohwa & Sophia Technologies
Sony Global Manufacturing & Operations Corporation
Sony LSI Design Inc.
Sony Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation

Shinichi Maeda*
Keita Miyasato*
Tomoh Uchida*
Masato Kanie*, Jyuichiro Noda*, Takaya Yamamoto*, Mohamedo Mansuru*, Minori Yoshitomi*, Takayuki Mizogami*
Jyun Shibasaki*, Tomomichi Takahashi*, Yukio Tanoue*
Takeshi Nomura*
Aki Tanaka*
Hidetoshi Ogawa*
Tomochika Kitamura*
Vincent Tam
Lars Snith
Yusuke Suzuki*, Masaki Watanabe*
Yasuhiro Segawa*
Ryouichi Nakahigashi*
Tadashi Akio*
Masashi Iwata*
Hideki Miyazawa*, Seiji Hidaka*, Shigeaki Hashimoto*
Megumi Nagata*

Shinichi Tanimoto*, Shingo Enomoto*, Atsushi Nakano*
Stefano Grivet-Talocia, Paolo Manfredi, Alessandro Zanco, Felipe Treviso, Marco Destefano, Riccardo Trincherro, Tomasso Bradde
Toru Ohhisa*
Kazuyuki Sakata*, Masato Suzuki*, Kazuaki Tsuchiyama*, Kazunori Yamada*, Jyunichi Yamada*
Toshihiko Makino*, Hisao Kurosu*, Nobuo Nakane*, Miyoko Goto*
Takeshi Hara*
Nobuya Sumiyoshi*, Kenichi Ozaki*
Ken Whigham
Takayuki Ito*, Takayuki Sato*
Hiroaki Fujita*
Toshiyuki Nishiyama*
Kazuo Nakajima*
Yoshihiko Yamamoto*
Yuriy Shlepnev
Shizue Kato*, Hajime Ohmi*, Motoaki Matsumura*, Megumi Ohno*, Ayako Kitamoto*
Tomoki Yamada*
Yuichi Ikeya*, Atsushi Yoshimoto*

Kazuki Murata*, Toru Fujii*
Kengo Imazato*

Technopro Design Company	Mai Fukuoka*
Teikyo Heisei University	Kohtaro Hachiya*
Tektronix & Fluke Corporation	Katsuhiko Suzuki*
Tektronix Company	Takafumi Watanabe*
Teradyne	Tao Wang, Tomoh Tashiro*
Tokyo Drawing Ltd.	Masahiko Nakamura*
Tomen Devices Corporation	Kinji Mitani*
Toshiba Corporation	Yasuki Torigishi*
Toshiba Development & Engineering Corporation	Nobuyuki Kasai*, Nobuhiro Tsuruta*
Toshiba Electronic Devices & Storage Corporation	Atsushi Tomishima*, Toshihiro Tsujimura*, Yoshinori Fukuba*
University of Illinois, Urbana	Jose Shutt-Ainé, Xinying Wang
WADOW	Kazuhiko Kusunoki*
Yamaha Corporation	Hiroyuki Kai*, Tetsuya Kakimoto*
Yazaki Parts Co., Ltd.	Kenichi Fujisawa*
Yokogawa Electric Corporation	Daisuke Kamezawa*

In the list above, attendees at the meeting are indicated by *. Those submitting an email ballot for their member organization for a scheduled vote are indicated by ^. Principal members or other active members who have not attended are in parentheses. Participants who no longer are in the organization are in square brackets.

UPCOMING MEETINGS

The connection information for future IBIS teleconferences is as follows:

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Join with a video conferencing device

106010980@teams.bjn.vc

Video Conference ID: 114 666 897 5

[Alternate VTC dialing instructions](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 267-768-8015,554664847#](tel:+12677688015554664847) United States, Philadelphia

Phone Conference ID: 554 664 847#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

All teleconference meetings are 8:00 a.m. to 9:55 a.m. US Pacific Time. Meeting agendas are typically distributed seven days before each Open Forum. Minutes are typically distributed within seven days of the corresponding meeting.

NOTE: "AR" = Action Required.

OFFICIAL OPENING

The Virtual Asian IBIS Summit (Japan) took place on Friday, November 12, 2021, as an online virtual meeting. About 148 people representing 77 organizations attended.

The notes below capture some of the content and discussions. The meeting presentations and other documents are available at:

<https://ibis.org/summits/nov21a/>

Start times and durations listed in these minutes refer to the meeting recording linked at:

https://ibis.org/summits/nov21a/summit_recording.mp4

(Start time: 13:50, Duration: 11:25)

Meeting facilitator Shiratori Takayuki gave instructions for using WebEx features, and how questions would be addressed. Unaddressed questions would be handled by email later.

Randy Wolff (IBIS Open Forum) welcomed everyone to the 16th IBIS summit in Japan. He thanked JEITA members for organizing the meeting, and the IBIS board members for assisting with organization and reviewing. The meeting would be recorded. Randy thanked the sponsors: Japan Electronics and Information Technology Industries Association (JEITA), ANSYS, Inc, Apollo Giken Co., Ltd., Keysight Technologies Japan K.K., Ricoh, Toshiba Corporation, and Zuken Inc.

Satoshi Nakamizo (JEITA) presented by video recording the history and status of the JEITA EDA Model Special Committee. There would be a new website and YouTube channel. One use of these would be to present information about IBIS.

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC6FZyi9_IGD3cC9h2M6HMng

IBIS CHAIR'S REPORT

Randy Wolff (Micron Technology, USA)

(Start time: 25:15, Duration: 15:00)

Randy Wolff, IBIS Open Forum Chair, presented by video recording on the history and status of the IBIS Open Forum. Most of the current work was in completing the IBIS 7.1 specification. A new Touchstone 2.0 parser had been released. Some specification change BIRDS were not to be included in IBIS 7.1. Future work would include expanding the system level perspective, PAMn, and PDN modeling and analysis improvements. Randy encouraged participation by all in the IBIS development process, showing the website features that could be used for collaboration.

EXPECTATIONS FOR IBIS 7.1

Randy Wolff (Micron Technology, USA)

(Start time: 40:30, Duration: 27:00)

Randy Wolff presented by video recording an overview of the new changes to be expected in IBIS 7.1. The presentation was an update to one previously given by Michael Mirmak of Intel. Randy described the process used by the Editorial Task Group to merge the changes specified by each BIRD into the IBIS 7.0 specification to produce IBIS 7.1. The work was very close to completion. A vote by the IBIS Open Forum was expected this year. Randy described changes in C_comp modeling, on-die Power Distribution Networks (PDNs), Electrical Module Descriptions (EMD), DC offset, back-channel statistical optimization, IBIS-AMI sampling

position, and IBIS-AMI clock forwarding support. He encouraged all to review the draft IBIS 7.1 documents found on the IBIS website.

Randy showed an update slide prepared after the video recording was made. He said the Editorial Task Group work had completed, and a final draft had been released for approval. Voting would take place in the December 10 IBIS Open Forum meeting. He encouraged IBIS members who could not attend to vote by email.

Ted Mido asked who could comment and vote. Randy said IBIS Open Forum members could vote. Comments could be sent by anyone to Randy, or to ibis@freelists.org (subscription required). Tadashi Arai asked if there were plans to update the IBIS Cookbook to handle complex models such as on-die C_comp models. Randy said that was a good idea, but there were no plans. He felt some helpful examples could be published.

MODELING AND SIMULATION OF SINGLE-ENDED PAM4 SIGNALS IN MEMORY INTERFACES

Fangyi Rao (Keysight Technologies, USA)
(Start time: 1:09:20, Duration: 30:00)

Fangyi Rao described the data rates and use of PAM4 signaling for GDDR6X. The reduced level separation of PAM4 required comprehensive modeling and analysis. Analysis of single-ended signals and source-synchronous clocking was required. Modeling the correct DC offset was important for single-ended signal analysis. Simulating the difference between rising and falling waveform edges, as well as non-linear transmitter behavior, were also important. Fangyi described the use of Signal-to-Noise-and-Distortion-Ratio (SNDR) for further analysis. He showed the effects of various equalization techniques, and how IBIS had been extended to model clock forwarding, which interacted with the ability of a receiver to track jitter.

Ted Mido asked if the Rx model should recover DC offset instead of the EDA tool doing that. Fangyi said it would not matter much. Ted was concerned that both the EDA tool and Rx model would apply the offset, double counting it. Fangyi said it was important for the Rx model to know what it was receiving. The Rx output could in theory have a DC component, which the EDA tool would have to accept. Randy Wolff said IBIS 7.1 would state that the Rx output would swing around zero volts. Fangyi asked if it was sufficient for the EDA tool to apply the offset. Ted said it might not be for some customers. There could be problems presenting the eye diagram. Fangyi said it had to be clear what was measured at the Rx latch.

DDR MEMORY SYSTEM SIMULATION METHOD

Shinichi Maeda (KEI Systems, Japan)
(Start time: 1:44:25, Duration: 26:00)

Shinichi Maeda presented by video recording on the advancement of DDR and LPDDR memory technologies over 5 generations, describing the key changes and required simulation method updates. The demand for LPDDR memory had increased due to their use in cellphones, which functioned increasingly like PCs. Shinichi described a process involving both pre-layout and post-layout simulation. He discussed the use of corner analysis and slew rate based JEDEC derating tables to account for operating variations with DDR3. IBIS 5.0 power-aware models made power noise analysis possible. DDR4 eliminated derating tables and added training functions. DDR5 added equalization, but IBIS-AMI models were required for that. Those had been developed for differential signals but would have to be used for single-ended signals. Also, they would have to support crosstalk and power noise analysis.

INSIDE AN IBIS PROVIDER

Tadashi Arai (AMD, Japan)

(Start time: 2:10:50, Duration: 27:00)

Tadashi Arai said he had transitioned from being an IBIS user to an IBIS provider. Early IBIS models allowed interoperable simulation, but availability, quality, and accuracy were issues. He described a case study showing the difficulties of providing IBIS models, from the IC vendor's perspective. Test reports provided with models were helpful. For one I/O buffer it was necessary to produce an IBIS model from SPICE. A third buffer required "loop back" testing since the interfacing part model was not available. An input model was difficult to convert from SPICE. For one buffer, combining slow, typical, and fast I-V curves into a single table was challenging. For package models, S-parameters were sometimes too large, but the basic IBIS RLC formats were not suitable. A power-aware buffer project was eventually abandoned due to cost. Help from silicon designers was needed to produce some IBIS models, but they tended to not be very knowledgeable about IBIS. IBIS model production was best handled by a dedicated team, or in some cases by outsourcing. Model testing, correlation, and ongoing support were important. Tadashi made recommendations for IBIS users, IBIS providers, and the IBIS Open Forum.

Mike LaBonte commented that the challenges summarized were quite familiar, noting that there seemed to be a request for more cookbook material from the IBIS Open Forum.

Kazunori Yamada commented that we had difficulty creating and providing the IBIS model. Engineers and organizations needed help. It would be helpful if JEITA were to show a reference case.

Kensuke Yoshijima asked what the IBIS model providers thought about creating and providing IBIS models; should that be a paid service or should models be provided free of charge as an added value to the product? Tadashi Arai answered that it depended on the relationship with the customer. In his opinion, like the semiconductor vendor's datasheets, the IBIS model should be provided free of charge. From the designer's point of view, it would be great if the SPICE model could be released, but for IP protection, we were taking the time to instead provide IBIS models. However, the expansion of the IBIS specification has made that more time consuming.

WHY ARE MODEL Issues STILL ALIVE? (MP4)

Kazuhiko Kusunoki (WADOW, Japan)

(Start time: 2:49:50, Duration: 20:00)

Kazuhiko Kusunoki presented by video recording, describing the challenges of becoming an IBIS model engineer. He had interviewed IBIS experts, mid-level experts, and non-experts. The number of issues reported seemed inversely proportional to the level of experience. Several questions and problem reports about IBIS had been expressed. JEITA had developed a portal and a cookbook to help. "Crosstalks" events provided venues for engineers to collaborate to solve issues, but COVID-19 had impacted that. Kazuhiko hoped to provide new venues for such cooperation. Activities would include creating IBIS models for pseudo-verification of standard protocols, adding AC/DC specifications and eye masks to IBIS models, and helping with the use of tools. IBIS Summit meetings could provide a venue, and "Crosstalks" could be resumed.

Makoto Matsumuro commented that, from his mid-expert experience, he thought that it was possible to judge whether the simulation results were far from the operating voltage or whether the waveform was abnormal. But it was difficult to judge whether the slew rate was correct, and

it might have been the same for an engineer mentioned in Tadashi Arai's presentation. He said that he wanted to increase his skill level in that area.

Ted Mido asked if the IBIS Open Forum would join Crosstalks. Randy Wolff said that might be possible. He agreed it took a long time to learn how to make IBIS models. He said it would be a large project to update the IBIS Cookbook, which was written for IBIS 4.2.

3D PACKAGE MODEL FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD SOLVER USED IN MORE HIGH-SPEED CHANNEL SIMULATION (MP4)

Masaki Kirinaka (Fujitsu Interconnect Technologies Limited, Japan)

(Start time: 3:10:30, Duration: 37:20)

Masaki Kirinaka presented by video recording on the verification and challenges of 3D package models. He showed the physical arrangement of and simulation results for multi-board systems for optical transmission. S-parameter extraction methods had to be improved to achieve good simulation results. Better results were obtained by extracting S-parameters for the package and boards together, requiring a 3D field solver. Comparisons were made using simulations at several operating speeds. A TDR spike at 14GHz Nyquist frequency was reduced using the 3D model. Eye diagrams were more open at higher frequencies using the 3D model, agreeing with insertion and return loss results. Masaki recommended adding 3D package modeling support to IBIS, by adding a File_3D IBIS-AMI parameter. He said simulation times would increase due to the 3D field solution time.

Masaki Kunii said he was aware that IBIS-AMI based analysis needed to be simulated with an equalizer, asking how Masaki Kirinaka had handled the equalizer in his PAM4 analysis. Masaki Kirinaka answered that for that case, he had shown the eye diagram, but he had not shown the waveform through the equalizer, just the Rx input waveform. He had used a simple behavioral input buffer model. His current issue was that inconsistencies occurred in the connections between the package and board models. He had found the problem by analyzing the package and board together. The idea was that as the frequency increases, by connecting the 3D model of the package and the 3D model of the board and doing the electromagnetic field analysis together, we could eliminate the inconsistency at the connection and get more accurate simulation results.

Masaki Kunii asked if it was correct that the proposal was to describe a 3D model in IBIS. Masaki Kirinaka said it would be ideal if the 3D model could be described in the package part of IBIS.

Ted Mido asked if the 3D models of the package and board should be included together in IBIS for better accuracy. Masaki Kirinaka answered yes, that was correct. He had proposed the keyword, but he did not yet have any concrete ideas about the content. He expected the keyword and their contents to be proposed as IBIS specifications in the future. He thought it would be better to define them in a 3D model, instead of the traditional S-parameter or LCR model on the device side.

Ted said he thought an S-parameter extracted from the results of 3D analysis would be sufficient, asking why Masaki Kirinaka thought a 3D model was necessary. Masaki Kirinaka said he thought a standardized 3D model should be used to describe the package in IBIS, not an S-parameter.

Ted said it would deviate from IBIS policy if the 3D model were in a proprietary vendor format. It would be necessary to standardize the 3D structural representation first. He understood that it would be described in IBIS.

Randy Wolff asked if the request was for full package layout information. A standard would have to be chosen, and IBIS files could then reference those files. Signal integrity simulators would need access to the 3D solvers.

Randy could imagine having a link from IBIS to the 3D structure file. He said we probably would not standardize on the 3D structure file format. Supporting 3D structure files was complicated by the need to consider field solver support on the simulation side. Randy understood the need for finding ways to analyze signals of increasing speed. Showing the quality of S-parameters would be a good first step.

Ted Mido said he thought that the inclusion of 3D structures was indeed significant, but we needed to discuss what kind of organizational structure and content to consider. He thought that LPB was close to what Masaki Kirinaka was imagining.

Masaki Kirinaka understood the difficulty. He asked that we please continue the discussion, as it became more important with higher frequency signals.

A FURTHER STUDY ON THE APPLICATION OF IBIS TO CISPR25 BASED EMI ANALYSIS OF DCDC CONVERTER ~RESOLVING UNEXPECTED RINGING IN THE WAVEFORM~

Kazuyuki Sakata*, Koji Ichikawa**, Miyoko Goto***, Toshiki Kanamoto****
(Renesas Electronics Corporation*, Denso Corp.**, Ricoh Corp.***, Hiroso University****;
Japan)

(Start time: 3:48:00, Duration: 35:40)

Kazuyuki Sakata presented by video recording, saying that it would be better to provide power device models as IBIS models, extracted from data measured in a CISPR25 chamber. He showed an example of producing an IBIS model for a DC-to-DC convertor. Results for different loads were shown. Both IBIS and SPICE simulations showed more ringing than measurements showed. The dead time during pullup and pulldown transistor switching was examined. Simplified models using SPICE macro-models were tested. Determining the correct diode forward voltage was a challenge. The SPICE macro-models were modified to represent multiple transistors for each side. That improved the observed ringing in the simulation. It was found that the slew rate of the driving force waveform was important. Future work would include EMI analysis using the modified macro-model.

Ted Mido said it was interesting that the current was dispersed, and ringing was suppressed by dividing the buffer, asking if it was possible to apply that mechanism to the IBIS model.

Kazuyuki answered that it was possible to divide the buffer and shift the timing, but it may be a problem to turn it on gently. In his presentation, the driving capacities were selected as 10%, 30%, and 60%.

Ted Mido said he understood the problem to be that we couldn't handle changes to the turn-on input to the IBIS B-Element, asking if there was a good way to do that. Kazuyuki answered that he did not think it was possible now. In the present case it was divided into 3 parts, but there might possibly be a solution if it was divided into more parts and each has a delay, something like [Driver Schedule]. If we set the measured waveform to the [Rising Waveform], the EDA tool would be able to know the correct answer. He hoped that EDA tools would automate that.

Ted Mido asked if they had considered whether the inductance that causes ringing exists as one lumped component in the device or was the sum of the inductances distributed in the device. Kazuyuki answered that it depended on the frequency. Since the frequency was not so high in the present case, they thought that a lumped component was sufficient. However, they believed that the route depended on the PCB. For example, the resonance frequency changed depending on the placement and capacitance of decoupling capacitors.

Mike LaBonte said IBIS seemed appropriate for high speed devices due to their linearity at those speeds, wondering if extra detail was warranted in this case because power devices ran at lower speeds.

Bob Ross said he would be presenting the following week on using [Driver Schedule] for simulating PAM4, and that would give an example of using multiple B elements.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING ITEMS

(Start time: 4:23:50, Duration: 2:30)

Randy Wolff thanked all attendees and presenters. He looked forward to developing new technologies, once again encouraging all to vote. He took note of the suggestions about improving the IBIS Cookbook. He hoped the next Japan IBIS summit could be held in person. The summit concluded.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting would be the Virtual Asian IBIS Summit (China), to be held November 19. The next IBIS Open Forum teleconference meeting would be held on November 19, 2021.

=====

NOTES

IBIS CHAIR: Randy Wolff (208) 363-1764

rwolff@micron.com

Principal Engineer, Silicon SI Group, Micron Technology, Inc.

8000 S. Federal Way

P.O. Box 6, Mail Stop: 01-720

Boise, ID 83707-0006

VICE CHAIR: Lance Wang (978) 633-3388

lance.wang@ibis.org

Solutions Architect, Zuken USA

238 Littleton Road, Suite 100

Westford, MA 01886

SECRETARY: Mike LaBonte

mlabonte@mathworks.com

Senior Engineer

1 Lakeside Campus Drive

Natick, MA 01760

TREASURER: Bob Ross (503) 246-8048

bob@teraspeedlabs.com

Engineer, Teraspeed Labs

10238 SW Lancaster Road

Portland, OR 97219

LIBRARIAN: Zhiping Yang (650) 214-0868

zhipingyang@google.com

Sr. Hardware Manager, Google LLC

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway

Mountain View, CA 94043

WEBMASTER: Steven Parker (845) 372-3294

sparker@marvell.com
Senior Staff Engineer, DSP, Marvell
2070 Route 52
Hopewell Junction, NY 12533-3507

POSTMASTER: Curtis Clark
curtis.clark@ansys.com
ANSYS, Inc.
150 Baker Ave Ext
Concord, MA 01742

This meeting was conducted in accordance with SAE ITC guidelines.

All inquiries may be sent to info@ibis.org. Examples of inquiries are:
To obtain general information about IBIS.

- To ask specific questions for individual response.
- To subscribe to the official ibis@freelists.org and/or ibis-users@freelists.org email lists (formerly ibis@eda.org and ibis-users@eda.org).
- To subscribe to one of the task group email lists: ibis-macro@freelists.org, ibis-interconn@freelists.org, or ibis-quality@freelists.org.
- To inquire about joining the IBIS Open Forum as a voting Member.
- To purchase a license for the IBIS parser source code.
- To report bugs or request enhancements to the free software tools: `ibischk6`, `tschk2`, `icmchk1`, `s2ibis`, `s2ibis2` and `s2iplt`.

The BUG Report Form for `ibischk` resides along with reported BUGs at:

<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/ibischk/>
<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/ibischk/bugform.txt>

The BUG Report Form for `tschk2` resides along with reported BUGs at:

<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/tschk/>
<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/tschk/bugform.txt>

The BUG Report Form for `icmchk` resides along with reported BUGs at:

<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/icmchk/>
http://www.ibis.org/bugs/icmchk/icm_bugform.txt

To report `s2ibis`, `s2ibis2` and `s2iplt` bugs, use the Bug Report Forms which reside at:

<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/s2ibis/bugs2i.txt>
<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/s2ibis2/bugs2i2.txt>
<http://www.ibis.org/bugs/s2iplt/bugspl.txt>

Information on IBIS technical contents, IBIS participants and actual IBIS models are available on the IBIS Home page:

<http://www.ibis.org/>

Check the IBIS file directory on [ibis.org](http://www.ibis.org) for more information on previous discussions and results:

<http://www.ibis.org/directory.html>

Other trademarks, brands and names are the property of their respective owners.

SAE STANDARDS BALLOT VOTING STATUS

Organization	Interest Category	Standards Ballot Voting Status	September	October 8,	October 29,	November
			17, 2021	2021	2021	12, 2021
ANSYS	User	Active	X	X	X	-
Applied Simulation Technology	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Broadcom Ltd.	Producer	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Cadence Design Systems	User	Active	-	X	X	X
Celestica	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Cisco Systems	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Dassault Systemes	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Ericsson	Producer	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Google	User	Active	X	X	X	-
Huawei Technologies	Producer	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Infineon Technologies AG	Producer	Inactive	-	X	-	-
Instituto de Telecomunicações	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Intel Corp.	Producer	Active	X	X	X	-
Keysight Technologies	User	Active	X	X	-	X
Luminous Computing	General Interest	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Marvell	Producer	Inactive	X	-	X	-
MathWorks (SiSoft)	User	Active	X	X	X	X
Maxim Integrated	Producer	Inactive	X	X	X	-
Micron Technology	Producer	Active	X	X	X	X
MST EMC Lab	User	Inactive	-	X	-	-
NXP	Producer	Inactive	-	-	-	-
SerDesDesign.com	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Siemens EDA (Mentor)	User	Active	X	X	X	X
Synopsys	User	Active	-	-	-	X
Teraspeed Labs	General Interest	Active	X	X	X	X
Xilinx	Producer	Inactive	X	X	X	-
ZTE Corp.	User	Inactive	-	-	-	-
Zuken	User	Active	-	-	-	X

Criteria for SAE member in good standing:

- Must attend two consecutive meetings to establish voting membership
- Membership dues current
- Must not miss two consecutive meetings (voting by email counts as attendance)

Interest categories associated with SAE standards ballot voting are:

- Users - members that utilize electronic equipment to provide services to an end user.
- Producers - members that supply electronic equipment.
- General Interest - members are neither producers nor users. This category includes, but is not limited to, government, regulatory agencies (state and federal), researchers, other organizations and associations, and/or consumers.