24th European Virtual IBIS Summit with SPI2022, 26 May 2022, Siegen, Germany

Low-Frequency Modal Extrapolation and Regularization for Full-Bandwidth Macromodeling of Electromagnetic Structures

M. De Stefano*, S. Grivet-Talocia*, T. Wendt[#], C. Yang[#], C. Schuster[#]

*Dept. Electronics and Telecommunications, Politecnico di Torino, Italy #Institut für Theoretische Elektrotechnik, Hamburg University of Technology (TUHH), Germany marco.destefano@polito.it











Research Context and Motivations

High-intensity radiation fields (HIRFs) and Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) can result in software or even hardware failure for many electronics devices



Protect from interferences **Allow** communications

Energy selective (shielding) structures



European Virtual IBIS Summit 26 May 2022

Low-Frequency Modal Extrapolation and Regularization for Full-Bandwidth Macromodeling of Electromagnetic Structures

Research Context and Motivations



Design through simulation

- 1. Full time-domain solvers
- 2. Hybrid techniques: decouple
 - linear part
 - nonlinear terminations





Source: www.tet.tuhh.de

© 2020 IEEE

Research Context: Hybrid Solver



*T. Wendt, C. Yang, H. D. Bruns, S. Grivet-Talocia, and C. Schuster, "A Macromodeling-Based Hybrid Method for the Computation of Transient Electromagnetic Fields Scattered by Nonlinearly Loaded Metal Structures," *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat.*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1098–1110, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TEMC.2020.2991455.





Remove loads \rightarrow Field Solver \rightarrow Data $\mathbf{H}(s) \rightarrow$ Model

3 frequency regions

- 1. Ω_H high-frequency, rich of resonances
- 2. Ω_L mid-frequency, **asymptotic** behavior
- 3. Ω_G gap region, **unknown** with solver MoM





Why a Shielding Enclosure?





Macromodeling Challenges (1/3)



¹ Low-Frequency Modal Extrapolation and Regularization for Full-Bandwidth Macromodeling of Electromagnetic Structures



Macromodeling Challenges (2/3)



Remove loads \rightarrow Field Solver \rightarrow Data $\mathbf{H}(s) \rightarrow$ Model

Macromodeling challenges

- a) DC point is missing
- b) Wide low-frequency gap Ω_G



Proposed solution
Data preprocessing
a) Full-band regularization
b) LF modal extrapolation



Box Characterization: DC Matrix





1. How to regularize Z(s)? \rightarrow add shunt resistor R

How to regularize MoM data ? 1. $\mathbf{Y}(s) \leftarrow \mathbf{Y}(s) + \frac{1}{R}\mathbb{I}$





- 1. How to regularize Z(s)? \rightarrow add shunt resistor R
- 2. How to regularize Y(s)? \rightarrow add series resistor r

How to regularize MoM data ?











Data Regularization (3/3)

- 1. How to regularize Z(s)? \rightarrow add shunt resistor R
- 2. How to regularize Y(s)? \rightarrow add series resistor r

How to regularize MoM data ? 1. $\mathbf{Y}(s) \leftarrow \mathbf{Y}(s) + \frac{1}{R}\mathbb{I}$

- 2. Convert to $\mathbf{Z}(s) = (\mathbf{Y}(s))^{-1}$
- 3. $\mathbf{Z}(s) \leftarrow \mathbf{Z}(s) + r\mathbb{I}$



© 2022 IEEE

DC matrices can be **analytically computed** for all system representations

$$\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbb{I}_p \otimes \mathbf{Y}_p \qquad \qquad \mathbf{Y}_p = \frac{(p \, r \, \mathbb{I}_p + R \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathsf{T}})}{p \, r R'}$$
$$R' = R + r \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathsf{T}} = [1, \cdots, 1]$$

12/27

Macromodeling Challenges: a 25-port Box(3/3)



© 2022 IEEE

Group

Macromodeling challenges

- a) DC point is missing
 - ✓ Physics-based DC point added for model generation

What if we

- b) Wide low-frequency gap Ω_G
 - LF extrapolation is needed



Low Frequency Extrapolation (1/4)

Group Elektrotechnik

Start with original data1. Eigenvalue decomposition at DC

$$\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 = \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} rac{1}{R'} \mathbb{I}_c & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & rac{1}{r} \mathbb{I}_\ell \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Projection to DC modal domain

$$\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{j}\omega_k) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j}\omega_k)\mathbf{Q}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K.$$
(4)

Causality and passivity are preserved by the constant (real) and orthogonal **Q**



Low Frequency Extrapolation (2/4)



European Virtual IBIS Summit 26 May 2022

FMC

Low Frequency Extrapolation (3/4)

Start with original data 10^{2} **1.** Eigenvalue decomposition at DC $\mathbf{Y}_{0} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_{0} = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Y}_{0} \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R'} \mathbb{I}_{c} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{I}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2) \quad \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^{0}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^{-2}} \mathbf{1}_{0} \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^{-2}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^{-2}} \mathbf{1}_{0} \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^{-2}} \underbrace{\mathfrak{S}}^{10^$ 10^{0} 10^{-6} 2. Projection to DC modal domain 10^{-8} 10^{5} $\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{j}\omega_k) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j}\omega_k)\mathbf{Q}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K.$ (4) 10^{2} 3. Fit (5) with element-wise $\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} (S) \\ (S) \\ (3) \\ ($ 10^{0} regression in Ω_L

 $\mathbf{Y}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega) \approx \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathbf{j}\omega} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{m}}_{\mathbf{j}\omega} \underbrace{\mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{C}_{m}}_{\mathbf{j}\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{\widetilde{C}} & \mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} & \frac{1}{\mathbf{j}\omega} \mathbf{\widetilde{\Gamma}} \end{bmatrix}$



EMC

Low Frequency Extrapolation (4/4)

Start with original data 10⁵ 1. Eigenvalue decomposition at DC $\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 = \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R'} \mathbb{I}_c & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{I}_\ell \end{bmatrix}$ (2) 2. Projection to DC modal domain $\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{j}\omega_k) = \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j}\omega_k) \mathbf{Q}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K.$ (4)

- 3. Fit (5) with element-wise regression in Ω_L
- 4. Extrapolate in the gap band Ω_G



EMC



Why not a Direct Extrapolation?



European Virtual IBIS Summit 26 May 2022

Start with original data1. Eigenvalue decomposition at DC

$$\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T}, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_0 = \mathbf{Q}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} rac{1}{R'} \mathbb{I}_c & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & rac{1}{r} \mathbb{I}_\ell \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2. Projection to DC modal domain
- $\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{j}\omega_k) = \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{j}\omega_k)\mathbf{Q}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, K.$ (4)
- 3. Fit (5) with element-wise regression in Ω_L
- 4. Extrapolate in the gap band Ω_G
- 5. Regularize data in the modal domain





Regularization in the Modal Domain



Minimize the perturbation: modify only the eigenvalues associate to capacitive blocks

- 5. Regularize data in the modal domain
 - a) Regularize (modal) admittance
 - b) Regularize (modal) impedance
- 6. Convert back to the **physical domain**

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega) &= \mathbf{Y}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega) + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R'} \mathbb{I}_{c} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega) &= \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega)^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & r \mathbb{I}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R' \, \mathbb{I}_{c} + \star_{1} & \star_{2} \\ \star_{2} & r \, \mathbb{I}_{\ell} + \star_{1} \end{bmatrix} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{j}\omega) &= \mathbf{Q} \, \breve{\mathbf{Z}}_{m}(\mathbf{j}\omega) \, \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{split}$$

Low Frequency Extrapolation and Regularization

Start with original data

Group

- 1. Eigenvalue decomposition at DC
- 2. Projection to DC modal domain
- 3. Fit (5) with element-wise regression in the low-frequency range Ω_L
- 4. Extrapolate in the gap band Ω_G
- 5. Regularize data in the modal domain
 - a) Regularize (modal) admittance
 - b) Regularize (modal) impedance

6. Convert back to the physical domain



Well-defined full-bandwidth data \rightarrow now we can build a model



A 25-ports Shielding Enclosures



Shielding Enclosures of Increasing Complexity



Theory and Application to Energy-Selective Shielding Enclosures," IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat., accepted



A Simulation Example with 400 Ports





- **H**(*s*) is a **400-ports** box (**85 poles**)
- Incident wave $e_{inc}(t)$ is a Gaussian Modulated Pulse centered at 400MHz
- The resulting $v_{oc}(t)$ has a maximum amplitude of 473 V
- HSPICE Elapsed time: ≈ 1.27 hours
- Hybrid solver (Proposed) time: ≈ 203 seconds \rightarrow SPEED UP $\approx 22X$

© 2022 IEEE

Systematic Performance Comparisons

• Foster • Equivalent Circuit

- 100-port Box + Gaussian Modulated Pulse
- 25 different simulations

Group

- Sweep on center frequency and amplitude
- **2 SPICE representations** (Foster and Equivalent Circuit)
- Total of 50 simulations







Low-Frequency Modal Extrapolation and Regularization for Full-Bandwidth Macromodeling of Electromagnetic Structures



- A full-bandwidth regularization and extrapolation procedure
- Reliable data pre-processing phase for later macromodeling
 - Full control of $DC \rightarrow$ nonlinear simulations
 - Data driven → no need of a specific solver for the low-frequency extrapolation
- Applicable to multiport structures with similar asymptotic behavior
 → DC regularization circuit is needed
- If a **DC** characterization is **already available** → **minor modifications**



Thank you! Questions?

European Virtual IBIS Summit 26 May 2022

Low-Frequency Modal Extrapolation and Regularization for Full-Bandwidth Macromodeling of Electromagnetic Structures